

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/526,463		POULAKIS, KONSTANTINOS		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Jenna-Leigh Johnson		1794		

All Participants:

(1) Jenna-Leigh Johnson.

(2) Mark S. Bicks.

Date of Interview: 7 November 2007

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

12 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jenna-Leigh Johnson/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed amending the language of claim to more clearly identify the direction of the claimed wave pattern. Also discussed removing the phrase in claim 15 drawn to the fastening element not passing under any filaments of the base fabric before forming the second loop since there was no clear support that the fastening element did not pass under the filaments of the base fabric. The applicant agreed to the changes suggested by the examiner..